

Download Rucker V. Everen Securities

{¶2} On December 1, 2000, Rucker filed a complaint against Everen Securities, Inc., First Union Securities, Inc., and Brand Meyer. 1 He alleged causes of action for race discrimination, constructive discharge, and wrongful discharge in violation of public policy. He later amended his complaint to add a claim for promissory estoppel. January Term, 2004 3 {¶2} One use is as an affirmative theory of recovery. Id. at 63. Under such a theory, the plaintiff asserts an independent claim for damages based on DOCKET NO. No. 2003-0814. JUDGES Francis E. Sweeney, Sr., J., dissenting. ATTORNEY(S) The Simon Law Firm and Ellen S. Simon, for appellant. {¶4} Whether Everen Securities made certain promises regarding the establishment of a minority investment firm upon which Rucker detrimentally relied, and whether the promises were fulfilled are factual issues. The jury considered the evidence and concluded that Rucker proved this claim. The court of appeals erroneously overturned the jury's verdict., Rucker V. Everen Securities.

Other Files :